

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/797,265 | CALLEJA, MICHAEL J. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jared W. Newton | 3634 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Jared W. Newton (Examiner).

(3) _____

 (2) Robert Charles Hill (Attorney for Applicant).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 September 2006
Time: 12pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


RICHARD E. CHILCOT, JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed amendments to the claims in order to put the claims in condition for allowance. The Attorney for Applicant agreed with the changes. The "attachment means" was also included into claim 1 so as to avoid a possible lack of antecedent basis error in claim 3..